

CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

2 JULY 2009

REPORT OF THE HEAD OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL AND MAJOR DEVELOPMENTS

APPEALS – PROGRESS REPORT

1 Introduction and Purpose of Report

- 1.1 This is a standard report item, the aim of which is to keep Members informed upon applications which have been determined by the Council, where new appeals have been lodged, Public Inquiries/Hearings scheduled or appeal results received.
- 1.2 A verbal update on any changes since the preparation of the report will be given.

2 Wards Affected

- 2.1 All wards in the District.

3 Effect on Policy

- 3.1 Nil.

4 Contact Officer(s)

- 4.1 Bob Duxbury (extension 1821)

5 New Appeals

- 5.1 08/02183/F – Hill Side, Ardley Road, Middleton Stoney appeal by Mr A Grenfell against the refusal of planning permission for a new vehicular access and parking area – written reps
- 5.2 08/01149/F and 08/01150/LB – Stone Holt, Kenhill Road, Shenington appeals by M T Goodwin against the refusal of planning permission and listed building consent for the erection of a conservatory – inquiry requested.

6 Forthcoming Public Inquiries and Hearings between 2 July 2009 and 23 July 2009

- 6.1 Hearing 10.00am 8 July 2009 Council Chamber Bodicote House, Bodicote to consider an appeal by _____ against the service of an enforcement notice alleging a breach of planning control – at Foxhill Farm, Ardley Road, Ardley

7 Results

- 7.1. The Inspectors appointed by the Secretary of State have:-
- 7.2 Allowed the appeal by Mrs L Wearing against the refusal of planning permission (application reference No. 08/01904/F) for the construction of a two-storey and single storey extension

to provide an annexe to the cottage at 23 Round Close Road, Adderbury (delegated). The Inspector considered that the development would preserve both the character and the appearance of the Conservation Area as the extension would appear reduced in scale, recessive and not a dominant feature in the overall perception of the dwelling, and that adequate car parking can be provided.

8 Risk Assessment, Financial Effects and Contribution to Efficiency Savings

- 8.1 The following details have been approved by Eric Meadows (Ext 1552) (Financial) and Rosemary Watts (Ext 1566) (Risk)
- 8.2 Risk assessment – this is a monitoring report where no additional action is proposed. As such there are no risks from accepting the recommendation.
- 8.3 Financial effects – the cost of defending appeals can normally be met from within existing budgets. Where this is not possible a separate report is made to the Executive to consider the need for a supplementary revenue estimate.
- 8.4 Efficiency savings – there are no efficiency savings arising from this report.

9 Recommendations

- 9.1 It is **RECOMMENDED** that the Committee resolves to accept this position statement.

Background Papers:

All papers attached to the planning application files reported in this report.